

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
WEI SENG PHUA, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:14-cr-00249-APG-PAL

**ORDER ON OBJECTIONS TO
REPORT OF FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(Dkt. #344, #397, #416)

10 Defendant Wei Seng Phua objects (Dkt. #416) to Magistrate Judge Leen's Order on his
11 motion to compel (Dkt. #344). Phua seeks production of all prior drafts of a search warrant
12 affidavit and any email communications regarding preparation of the drafts. Following an *in*
13 *camera* review of the requested material, Judge Leen denied the motion. (Dkt. #397.) On March
14 4, 2015, Phua indicated:

it is sufficient for this Honorable Court (like Magistrate Judge Leen) to review the drafts *in camera* as well. If it then determines the drafts are relevant to the pending motions to suppress, it can order disclosure at that time. Beyond that, the Defendants do not believe that further action will be required with respect to the drafts.

18 | (Dkt. #433 at 4.)

19 I have conducted an *in camera* review of the draft warrant affidavits and related emails
20 and I decline to order disclosure in relation to the motions to suppress. I have already granted
21 Phua's motions to suppress. Nothing in the draft warrants or emails would alter that analysis.

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Phua's motion to compel (Dkt. #344) is denied and
23 his objections (Dkt. #416) to Judge Leen's order (Dkt. #397) are overruled.

24 | DATED this 17th day of April, 2015.

ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE